arXiv:1302.0036v1 [math-ph] 31 Jan 2013

Monge equation of arbitrary degree in $1+1$ space

A.N.Leznov[*][†]and R.Torres-Cordoba[‡]

Abstract

Solution of Monge equation of arbitrary degree ($\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial x^n}=W(\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial z^n})$ is connected with solution of functional equation for 4 functions with 4 different arguments. Some number solutions of this equation is represented in explicit form.

1 Introduction

So called Monge-Amper equation degree $n+1$ in $1+1$ dimensional x, z space looks as [1]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial x^n})\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial z^n})=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial x^n})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial y^n})$$

The last equation may be considered as unity to zero Jacobian between $(\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial z^n})$, $\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial x^n}$, which means their function dependence or

$$\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial x^n}=W(\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial z^n})$$

The last equation we will call as Monge equation of n degree with notation M_n. For initial Monge Ampher equation we use term $(M-A)_{n+1}$. Each solution of M_n is simultaneous solution of $(M-A)_{n+1}$. General solution of M_n depend on n functions of one argument and if it will be possible to find it for arbitrary W function then it will be general solution for $(M-A)_{n+1}$ depending on $n+1$ arbitrary functions of one argument.

---

[*] e-mail: andrey@buzon.uaem.mx

[†] Universidad Autonoma del Estado de Morelos, CIICAp, Cuernavaca, Mexico

[‡] Universidad Autonoma de Cd. Juarez, Chihuahua and Universidad Autonoma del Estado de Morelos, CIICAp, Cuernavaca, Mexico

2 Trivial partial solution of $(M-A)_{n+1}$

If in M_n $W(x)=x$ then solution is obvious

$$U=\sum_{k+1}^{n} f_k(x+\lambda_k z), \quad \lambda_k^n=1$$

From this example it is clear that existence of the solution of M_n will determine function W for which solution is possible.

3 M_1

$$U_x=W(U_z)$$

Solution is well known connected with classical Monge equation $\lambda_z=\lambda\lambda_x$ which can rewrite in the form of zero Jacobian $(x+\lambda z)_x\lambda_z-(x+\lambda z)_z\lambda_x=0$ .The last condition means functional dependence $x+\lambda x+\lambda z=F(\lambda)$ This is exactly general solution in implicit form of classical Monge equation.

4 $\tilde{M}_2$

The results of this section will be used below in sections M_3, M_n. Equation $\tilde{M}_2$ looks as

$$U_{z,z}=W(U_{x,x},U_{z,x})$$

Really relation above is not the equation because 3 arbitrary functions in 1+1 are always functionally dependent. The talk is about some parametrization of functions involved in this relation.

In notations $a=U_{z,z}=W(b,c), \quad b=U_{x,z}, \quad c=U_{xx}$ equation $\tilde{M})_2$ looks as system of equations $a_x=W_b b_x+W_c c_x=b_z, \quad b_z=c_x$.

This is typical hydrodynamic system. ([2]. By transformation $x=X(b,c), z=Z(b,c)$ we calculate derivatives

$$b_x=\frac{Z_c}{D}, \quad c_x=-\frac{Z_b}{D}, \quad b_z=-\frac{X_c}{D}, \quad c_z=\frac{X_b}{D}, \quad D=Jacob(X,Z)$$

Linear system of equation above is resolved in terms of one function $R, X=R_c, Z=R_b$

$$R_{cc}=-W_b R_{cb}+W_c R_{bb}$$

There are no known methods for solution of this equation under arbitrary function W. Below we propose some trick for finding solution of this equation together with function W. Let us try represent second order differential equation in factorize form

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial c^2}+W_b\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b\partial c}-W_c\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2}=(\frac{\partial}{\partial c}+\nu_1\frac{\partial}{\partial b})(\frac{\partial}{\partial c}+\nu_2\frac{\partial}{\partial b}) \tag{1}$$

Comparison left and right sides lead to conclusion

$$\nu_1+\nu_2=W_b,\quad \nu_1\nu_2=-W_c,\quad \nu^2_c+\nu^1\nu^2_b=0,\quad \nu^1_c+\nu^2\nu^1_b=0 \tag{2}$$

In what follows always $\nu_2\equiv\nu^2,\quad \nu_1\equiv\nu^1$. There are obvious three possibility in resolving the last system of equations, which will be considered on three subsections below.

4.0.1 $\nu_2,\nu_1=Constants$

In this case $R=R_1(b-\nu_1 c)+R_2(b-\nu_2 c),\quad W=(\nu_1+\nu_2)b-\nu_1\nu_2 c+w(R_b)$. $x=R_b=\dot{R}_1+R'_2,\quad z=R_c=-\nu_1\dot{R}_1-\nu_2 R'_2$. Resolving two last equations $b-\nu_2 c=L_1(x+\nu_1 z),\quad b-\nu_1 c=L_2(x+\nu_2 z)$ or finally $c=\frac{L_2-L_1}{\nu_2-\nu_1},\quad c=\frac{\nu_2 L_2-\nu_1 L_1}{\nu_2-\nu_1}$. In the same terms $W=\frac{\nu_2^2 L_2-\nu_1^2 L_1}{\nu_2-\nu_1}+w(x)$. Let us introduce notation $l^k_s=\frac{\nu_2^s L_2^k-\nu_1^s L_1^k}{\nu_2-\nu_1}$. $L^k_{1[2}$ k-derivatives of L function by their own argument. It is obvious $(l^k_s)_x=l^{k+1}_s,\quad (l^k_s)_z=l^{k+1}_{s+1}$.

4.0.2 $\nu_1=Constant,\nu_2=\nu_2(b-\nu_1 c)$

$\nu_2=\nu_2((b-\nu_1 c)),\quad R=R_1((b-\nu_1 c))+R_2(\int^{(b-\nu_1 c}ds\frac{1}{\nu_1-\nu_2(s)}-b))$

$$x=R_b=R'_1+\dot{R}_2\frac{\nu_1}{-\nu_2-\nu_1},\quad z=R_c=-\nu_1 R'_1+\dot{R}_2\frac{\nu_2\nu_1}{-\nu_2-\nu_1},\quad x+\nu_1 z-=\nu_1\dot{R}_2,$$

$$x+\nu_2 z=\Delta R'\equiv\Theta(\nu_2)\quad c=C(\nu_2)-L(x+\nu_1 z),\quad b=\int d\nu_2\nu_2 C_{\nu_2}-\nu_1 L(x+\nu_1 z),$$

$$a=\int d\nu_2\nu_2^2 C_{\nu_2}-\nu_1^2 L(x+\nu_1 z)+\theta(z),$$

4.0.3 $\nu^2_c+\nu^1\nu^2_b=0, \quad \nu^1_c+\nu^2\nu^1_b=0$

In the system of equations in the title of this subsection let us perform transformation $b=B(\nu^1\nu^2), \quad c=C(\nu^1\nu^2)$. Absolutely by the same technique as above we pass to the system of equations with obvious solution

$$B_{\nu^2}-\nu^2C_{\nu^2}=0, \quad B_{\nu^1}-\nu^1C_{\nu^1}=0$$

$$c=C=C^1(\nu^1)+C^2(\nu^2), \quad b=B=\int d\nu^1\nu^1C^1_{\nu^1}+\int d\nu^2\nu^2C^2_{\nu^2}$$

$$W=\int db(\nu_1+\nu_2)-\int dc\nu_1\nu_2+w(x)=\int d\nu^1(\nu^1)^2C^1_{\nu^1}+\int d\nu^2(\nu^2)^2C^2_{\nu^2}+w(x)$$

From equation

$$(\frac{\partial R}{\partial c}+\nu_1\frac{\partial R}{\partial b})=0, \quad (\frac{\partial R}{\partial c}+\nu_2\frac{\partial R}{\partial b})=0$$

(both differential operators are commutative) it follows $R=R^1(\nu_1)+R^2(\nu_2)$ $(\frac{\partial R}{\partial c}+\nu_1\frac{\partial R}{\partial b})=(\frac{\partial R}{\partial c}-\frac{(\nu_2)_c}{(\nu_2)_b}\frac{\partial R}{\partial b})=0$ from which follow result above. Further

$$z=R_b=R^1_{\nu_1}(\nu_1)_b+R^2_{\nu_2}(\nu_2)_b, \quad x=R_c=R^1_{\nu_1}(\nu_1)_c+R^2_{\nu_2}(\nu_2)_c$$

or

$$x+\nu_1z=R^1_{\nu_1}((\nu_1)_c+\nu_1(\nu_1)_b), \quad x+\nu_2z=R^2_{\nu_2}((\nu_2)_c+\nu_2(\nu_2)_b)$$

After differentiation expressions above for b,c functions via ν ones we obtain system of equations for determining derivatives of ν functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} C^1_{\nu^1}\nu^1_c+C^2_{\nu^2}\nu^2_c \\ \nu^1C^1_{\nu^1}\nu^1_c+\nu^2C^2_{\nu^2}\nu^2_c \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} C^1_{\nu^1}\nu^1_b+C^2_{\nu^2}\nu^2_b \\ \nu^1C^1_{\nu^1}\nu^1_b+\nu^2C^2_{\nu^2}\nu^2_b \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$$

Result of solution

$$C^1_{\nu^1}\nu^1_c=\frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2-\nu^1}, \quad C^2_{\nu^2}\nu^2_c=-\frac{\nu^1}{\nu^2-\nu^1} \quad C^1_{\nu^1}\nu^1_b=-\frac{1}{\nu^2-\nu^1}, \quad C^2_{\nu^2}\nu^2_b=\frac{1}{\nu^2-\nu^1}$$

Substituting these expressions in relations connected x,z variables with (ν) one we obtain finally

$$x+\nu_1z=\frac{R^1_{\nu_1}((\nu_1)_c}{C^1_{\nu^1}}\equiv\Theta^1(\nu^1), \quad x+\nu_2z=\frac{R^2_{\nu_2}((\nu_2)_c}{C^2_{\nu^2}\equiv\Theta^2(\nu^2)}$$

5 M_2

This case coincide with the previous one under condition $W_b = 0$. The second order differential equation looks as

$$R_{cc} = W_c R_{bb}$$

Solution of this equation it is possible to find in a form $R = \int dk e^{kb} w(k, c)$. For w we pass to ordinary differential equation of the second order $w_{cc} = k^2 W_c w$. This is typical one dimensional Schrodinger equation with zero energy and potential function $k^2 W_c(c)$. All cases when it is possible to find its solution in explicit form are described in corresponding monographies.The linear equation of second order have two fundamental solution w_1, w_2 and general solution is their linear combination. Thus

$$W = \int dk e^{kb}(f_1(k)w_1(k) + f_2(k)w_2(k))$$

Both fundamental solutions are depended from k because potential energy $k^2 W_c$ depends from this parameter. Thus $(M - A)_3$ have trivial solution depending on two one dimensional functions and series solutions connected with the cases of integrability of corresponding ordinary differential equation also depending on two one dimensional functions f_1, f_2.

5.1 Degenerate solution

In the main text of this section was assumed no one 2 functions from 3 ones a, b, c functional dependent. Let us consider opposite situation. $c = C(a) = W^{-1}(a), \quad b = B(a)$ (W^{-1} inverse with respect W function). From linear system of equations $a_z = b_x, \quad b_z = c_x = C_a a_x$ we immediately obtain $B_a^2 = C_a$ and the first equation is usual one dimensional Monge equation $a_z = C_a^{\frac{1}{2}} a_x$ with solution in implicit form $x + C_a^{\frac{1}{2}} z = G(a)$. Thus we have solution in degenerate case $M_2, (M-A)_3$ equations depending on 2 arbitrary functions $(W^{-1}(a), G(a))$.

6 M_3

$$U_{xxx} = W(U_{zzz})$$

This equation as in section possible system form in notations $a = U_{z,z,z}, \quad b = U_{x,z,z}, \quad c = U_{x,x,z} \quad d = U_{x,x,x}$. $d_z = c_x = W(a)_z, \quad a_z = b_x, \quad b_x = c_z$. In two dimensional all three functions are functionally depended. Thus it is possible represented $a = f(b,c)$ The system of equations under such assumption take form

$$f_b b_x + f_c c_x = b_z, \quad b_x = c_z, \quad c_x = W_b b_z + W_c c_z, \quad W = W(f)$$

After the same transformation as in section $\tilde{M}_2$ we pass to two equations in partial derivatives which must be self consistent

$$f_b R_{b,c} - f_c R_{b,b} = -R_{c,c}, \quad -R_{b,b} = -W_b R_{c,c} + W_c R_{c,b}, \quad W = W(f), \quad x = R_c, \quad z = R_b \tag{3}$$

6.1 $\nu_2, \nu_1 = Constants$

In this case $R = R_1(b - \nu_1 c) + R_2(b - \nu_2 c), \quad W = (\nu_1 + \nu_2)b - \nu_1 \nu_2 c + w(R_b)$. $x = R_b = \dot{R}_1 + R_2', \quad z = R_c = -\nu_1 \dot{R}_1 - \nu_2 R_2'$. Resolving two last equations $b - \nu_2 c = L_1(x + \nu_1 z), \quad b - \nu_1 c = L_2(x + \nu_2 z)$ or finally $c = \frac{L_2 - L_1}{\nu_2 - \nu_1}, \quad b = \frac{\nu_2 L_2 - \nu_1 L_1}{\nu_2 - \nu_1}$. In the same terms $f = \frac{\nu_2^2 L_2 - \nu_1^2 L_1}{\nu_2 - \nu_1} + \sigma(x)$ For determination W we have additional equations

$$\nu_1^{-1} + \nu_2^{-1} = W_c, \quad (\nu_1 \nu_2)^{-1} = -W_b, \quad \nu_c^2 + \nu^1 \nu_b^2 = 0, \quad \nu_c^1 + \nu^2 \nu_b^1 = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$W = c(\nu_1^{-1} + \nu_2^{-1}) - b(\nu_1 \nu_2)^{-1} + \theta(z) = \frac{\nu_2^{-1} L_2 - \nu_1^{-1} L_1}{\nu_2 - \nu_1} + \theta(z)$$

Thus in notation of the section $\tilde{M}_2$ we have

$$c = l_0^0, \quad b = l_1^0, \quad a = f = l_2^0 + \theta(z), W = l_{-1}^0 + \sigma(x)$$

But $W = W(f)$. This fact is equivalent as equality to zero Jacobian between this functions $Jacbian(f, W) = 0$ with arbitrary two arguments of the problem. Choosing x, z as such arguments have

$$f_x W_z = f_z W_x, \quad (l_3^1 + \theta_z)(l_{-1}^1 x + \sigma_x) = l_2^1 l_0^1$$

or

$$\sigma_x \theta_z) + \sigma_x l_3^1 + \theta_z l_{-1}^1 + \frac{[]}{\nu_1 \nu_2} \dot{L}_2 L_1' = 0 \tag{5}$$

In what follows following notations are used

$$\Delta = \nu_2 - \nu_1, \quad [] = (\nu_1^2 + \nu_1\nu_2 + \nu_2^2), \quad \rho = \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2)\nu_1\nu_2}{[]}$$

Equation above is functional equation connected 4 functions $\dot{L}_2, L_1', \sigma_x, \theta_z$ with 4 different arguments $x + \nu_2 z, x + \nu_1 z, x, z$. This functional equation may be rewritten in many different forms. We present one of them from which we will be able to obtain some number of partial solutions

$$\frac{\theta_z + \nu_1^2 L_1'}{\nu_1^{-1} L_1' - \nu_2 \sigma_x} = \frac{\theta_z + \nu_2^2 \dot{L}_2}{\nu_2^{-1} \dot{L}_2 - \nu_1 \sigma_x} \tag{6}$$

Both functional equations are invariant with respect to the following transformation

$$\sigma_x \to \frac{[]}{\nu_1^4 \nu_2^4 \sigma_x}, \quad \theta_z \to \frac{[]}{\theta_z}, \quad L_1' \to \frac{1}{\nu_1^2 L_1'}, \quad \dot{L}_2 \to \frac{1}{\nu_1^2 \dot{L}_2}$$

We would like to show that if one derivatives is constant functional equation have some explicit partial solution.

6.1.1 $\sigma_x = A = Constant$

In this case let us introduce in the last equation notation $u^{-1}(x + \nu_1 z) = \nu_1^{-1} L_1' - \nu_2 A, \quad v^{-1}(x + \nu_2 z) = \nu_2^{-1} \dot{L}_2 - nu_1 A$ and determine θ_z in this terms. Result is the following one

$$\theta_z = \frac{\nu_2^3 - \nu_1^3}{u - v} + \frac{\nu_2^3 \nu_1 A u - \nu_2 \nu_1^3 A v}{u - v}$$

Derivative with respect to x the last expression equal to zero and $u_x = u', v_x = \dot{v}$ The final result

$$\frac{u'}{u + (\tilde{A})^{-1}} = \frac{\dot{v}}{(v + (\tilde{A})^{-1}} = k, \quad \ln(v + (\tilde{A})^{-1}) = k(x + \nu_2 z) + d_2 \equiv kD_2, \quad \tilde{A} \equiv \rho A$$

$$v^{-1} = (e^{kD_2} - (\tilde{A})^{-1})^{-1} = \nu_2^{-1} \dot{L}_2 - \nu_1 A, \quad u^{-1} = (e^{kD_1} - 1)^{-1} = \nu_1^{-1} L_1' - \nu_2 A,$$

$$\theta_z = A \nu_2 \nu_1 \frac{\nu_2^2 e^{kD_1} - \nu_1^2 e^{D_2}}{e^{kD_1} - e^{kD_2}}$$

Trivial integration of the last expressions lead to

$$L_2 = \frac{\nu_2 \tilde{A}}{k} \ln(1 - \tilde{A} e^{-kD_2}) + \nu_2 \nu_1 A D_2, \quad L_1 = \frac{\nu_1 \tilde{A}}{k} \ln(1 - \tilde{A} e^{D_1}) + \nu_2 \nu_1 A D_2$$

$$\theta = -\tilde{A} \frac{\nu_2^3 - \nu_1^3}{k\Delta} \ln(e^{-kD_2} - e^{-kD_1}) - A\nu_2\nu_1[(\nu_2 + \nu_1)x + []z] \quad \sigma = Ax$$

$$W = l^0_{-1} + \sigma = A \frac{\nu_1 D_2 - \nu_2 D_1}{\Delta} + \frac{\tilde{A}}{k} \ln \frac{1 - (\tilde{A})^{-1} e^{-kD_2}}{1 - \tilde{A} e^{-kD_1}} + Ax = \frac{\tilde{A}}{k} \ln \frac{1 - (\tilde{A})^{-1} e^{-kD_2}}{1 - (\tilde{A})^{-1} e^{-kD_1}}$$

$$f = a = l^0_2 + \theta = A \frac{\nu_2^2 D_2 - \nu_1^2 D_1)}{\Delta} + \nu_2^3 \frac{\tilde{A}}{\Delta k} \ln(1 - \tilde{A} e^{-kD_2}) -$$

$$\nu_1^3 \frac{\tilde{A}}{\Delta k} \ln(1 - \tilde{A} e^{-kD_1}) - \tilde{A} \frac{\nu_2^3 - \nu_1^3}{k\Delta} \ln(e^{-kD_2} - e^{-kD_1}) - A\nu_2\nu_1[(\nu_2 + \nu_1)x + []z] =$$

$$\nu_2^3 \frac{\tilde{A}}{\Delta k} \ln \frac{(1 - \tilde{A} e^{-kD_2})}{(e^{-kD_2} - e^{-kD_1}} - \nu_1^3 \frac{\tilde{A}}{\Delta k} \ln \frac{(1 - \tilde{A} e^{-kD_1}}{(e^{-kD_2} - e^{-kD_1}})$$

or

$$\frac{\Delta k}{\tilde{A}} f = (\nu_2^3 - \nu_1^3) \ln(e^{W \frac{k}{\tilde{A}}} - 1) + \nu_1^3 \frac{Wk}{\tilde{A}} \tag{7}$$

6.1.2 $L'_1 = D = Constant$

In this case let us rewrite the main equation in equivalent form

$$\frac{\nu_2^{-1} \dot{L}_2 - \nu_1 \sigma_x L'_1}{\nu_1^{-1} L'_1 - \nu_2 \sigma_x} = \frac{\theta_z + \nu_2^2 \dot{L}_2}{\theta_z + \nu_1^2 L'_1} \tag{8}$$

and introduce notations $u^{-1} u^{-1}(x) = \nu_1^{-1} D - \nu_2 \sigma_x, \quad v^{-1}(z) = \theta_z + \nu_1^2 D$. In this notations the last equation resolved with respect to $\dot{L}_2$ looks as

$$\dot{L}_2 = \frac{1 - \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}}{\nu_2^{-1} u - \nu_2^2 v} + D \frac{\nu_2^{-1} u - \nu_1^2 v}{\nu_2^{-1} u - \nu_2^2 v}$$

After action on the this expression by operator $H = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \nu_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ keeping in mind that $HL_2 = 0, \quad Hu = -\nu_2 u_x, \quad Hv = v_z$ we pass to equation for u, v functions with obvious solution $(\tilde{D} = D(\nu_+ \nu_2))$

$$\frac{u_x}{\nu_2^2 \tilde{D}^{-1} + u} + \nu_2^{-1} \frac{v_z}{\nu_2^{-1} \tilde{D}^{-1} + v} = 0, \quad \nu_2^{-1} \tilde{D}^{-1} + v = e^{-k\nu_2 z}, \quad \nu_2^2 \tilde{D}^{-1} + u = e^{kx}$$

Substituting these expressions ion equation for $\dot{L}_2$ and using definitions of u, v functions we obtain explicit expressions for all derivations

$$\dot{L}_2 = D + D(\nu_2^2 - \nu_1^2)\frac{e^{-kD_2}}{\nu_2^{-1} - \nu_2^2 e^{-kD_2}}, \quad \sigma_x = \nu_1^{-1}\nu_2^{-1}D - \nu_2^{-1}\frac{1}{e^{kx} - \nu_2^2 \tilde{D}^{-1}}$$

$$L_1' = D, \quad \theta_z = -\nu_1^2 D + \frac{1}{e^{-\nu_2 kz} - \nu_2^{-1}\tilde{D}^{-1}}$$

Trivial integration leads to explicit expressions of L_2, L_1, θ, σ functions

$$L_2 = \frac{\nu_1^2}{\nu_2^2}Dx + \nu_2 Dz + D\frac{\nu_2^2 - \nu_1^2}{k\nu_2^2}\ln(\nu_2^{-1}e^{kx} - \nu_2^2 e^{-k\nu_2 z}), \quad L_1 = D(x + \nu_1 z)$$

$$\theta = -D[]z - D\frac{\nu_2 + \nu_1}{k}D\ln(e^{-k\nu_2 z} - \nu_2^{-1}\tilde{D}^{-1}), \quad \sigma = \nu_2^{-3}\nu_1^{-1}[]Dx - \nu_2^{-3}\frac{\tilde{D}}{k}\ln(e^{kx} - \nu_2^2\tilde{D}^{-1})$$

With help of these formulae and definitions above we have

$$W = l^0_{-1} + \sigma = \nu_2^{-3}\frac{\tilde{D}}{k}\ln(\nu_2^{-1} - \nu_2^2\frac{e^{-k\nu_2 z} - \nu_2^{-1}\tilde{D}^{-1}}{e^{kx} - \nu_2^{-1}\tilde{D}^{-1}}),$$

$$a = f = l_2^0 + \theta = \frac{\tilde{D}}{k}\ln(\nu_2^{-1}\frac{e^{kx} - \nu_2^{-1}\tilde{D}^{-1}}{e^{-k\nu_2 z} - \nu_2^{-1}D^{-1}} - \nu_2^2)$$

or functions W, f are functionally dependent

$$\nu_2^{-1}e^{\frac{-k\nu_2^3 W}{\tilde{D}}} - \nu_2^2 e^{\frac{-kf}{\tilde{D}}} = 1 \tag{9}$$

6.1.3 $\theta_z = E = Constant$

After introduction new notation $\frac{1}{u}(x + \nu_1 z) = E + \nu_1^2 L_1', \quad \frac{1}{v}(x + \nu_2 z) = E + \nu_2^2 \dot{L}_2$ and resolving the main equation (**??** with respect Toσ_x we have

$$\sigma_x(\nu_1 v - \nu_2 u = \nu_2^{-3} - \nu_1^{-3} + E(\nu_1^{-3}u - \nu_2^{-3}v)$$

After calculation derivative with respect to z argument and trivial manipulations as in previous sub subsections we pass to equations foe u, v functions with obvious solution

$$\frac{\nu_1 u'}{u + \frac{\nu_1}{E\rho}} = k = \frac{\nu_2 \dot{v}}{v + \frac{\nu_2}{E\rho}} \quad u = e^{\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1} - \frac{\nu_1}{E\rho}, \quad v = e^{\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2} - \frac{\nu_2}{E\rho}$$

From definitions of u, v functions above σ_x via these functions we obtain

$$\nu_2^2 \dot{L}_2 = -E + \frac{1}{e^{\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2} - \frac{\nu_2}{E\rho}}, \quad \nu_1^2 L_1' = -E + \frac{1}{e^{\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1} - \frac{\nu_1}{E\rho}}, \quad \sigma_x = E\frac{\nu_1^{-3} e^{\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1} - \nu_1^{-3} e^{\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2}}{\nu_1 e^{\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2} - \nu_2 e^{\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1}}$$

Result of integration

$$\nu_2^2 L_2 = -ED_2 + \frac{\rho}{k}\ln(1 - \frac{\nu_2}{E\rho} e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2}), \quad \nu_1^2 L_1 = -ED_1 + \frac{\rho}{k}\ln(1 - \frac{\nu_1}{E\rho} e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1})$$

$$\sigma = -\nu_1^{-3}\nu_2^{-1} - \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_1}{\nu_2^3 \nu_1^3} D_2 - \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2}{k\nu_2^2 \nu_1^2}(\equiv \frac{[]\rho}{k\nu_2^3\nu_1^3}) \ln(\nu_1 e^{\frac{-k}{\nu_1}D_1} - \nu_2 e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2})$$

$$f = \frac{\rho}{k\Delta} \ln \frac{(1 - \frac{\nu_2}{E\rho} e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2})}{(1 - \frac{\nu_1}{E\rho} e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1})}, \quad W = \frac{\rho}{k\Delta}[\nu_2^{-3}\ln(1 - \frac{\nu_2}{E\rho} e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2}) - \nu_1^{-3}\ln(1 - \frac{\nu_1}{E\rho} e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1}) -$$

$$(\nu_2^{-3} - \nu_1^{-3}) \ln(\nu_1 e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_1}D_1} - \nu_2 e^{-\frac{k}{\nu_2}D_2})].$$

From the last two relations above we obtain

$$-\frac{\rho}{k\Delta} W = \nu_2^{-3} \ln(1 - e^{f\frac{\rho}{k\Delta}}) - \nu_1^{-3} \ln(e^{-f\frac{\rho}{k\Delta}} - 1)$$

6.2 $\nu_1 = Constant, \nu_2 = \nu_2(b - \nu_1 c)$

$$\nu_2 = \nu_2((b - \nu_1 c)), \quad R = R_1((b - \nu_1 c)) + R_2(\int^{(b - \nu_1 c} ds \tfrac{1}{\nu_1 - \nu_2(s)} - b))$$

$$x = R_b = R_1' + \dot{R}_2 \frac{\nu_1}{-\nu_2 - \nu_1}, \quad z = R_c = -\nu_1 R_1' + \dot{R}_2 \frac{\nu_2 \nu_1}{-\nu_2 - \nu_1}, \quad x + \nu_1 z - = \nu_1 \dot{R}_2,$$

$$x + \nu_2 z = \Delta R' \equiv \Theta(\nu_2) \quad c = C(\nu_2) - L(x + \nu_1 z), \quad b = \int d\nu_2 \nu_2 C_{\nu_2} - \nu_1 L(x + \nu_1 z),$$

$$a = \int d\nu_2 \nu_2^2 C_{\nu_2} - \nu_1^2 L(x + \nu_1 z) + \theta(z), \quad W = \int d\nu_2 \nu_2^{-1} C_{\nu_2} \nu_1^{-1} L(x + \nu_1 z) + \sigma(x)$$

and only one equation remains

$$W = W(a), \quad \sigma_x \theta_z + \theta_z(\nu_2^{-1} C_{\nu_2}(\nu_2)_x - \nu_1^{-1} L') + \sigma_x(\nu_2^3 C_{\nu_2}(\nu_2)_x - \nu_1^3 L') = \frac{\Delta^2 []}{\nu_1 \nu_2}(\nu_2)_x C_{\nu_2} L'.$$

also functional equation of the same kind as above and below ones.

6.2.1 $\theta_z = E = constant$

Only with the aim to demonstrate self consistence of this equation let us consider the simplest case $L' = 0$ and simplest solution $\nu^2 = -\frac{x}{z}, \Theta = 0, \nu^2_x = -\frac{1}{z}, \nu^2_z = \frac{x}{z^2}$, Functional equation reduced to

$$\frac{1}{C_{\nu_2}(\nu_2)} + \frac{1}{x\sigma_x} + \frac{1}{z^4\theta_z}x^3 = 0, \quad \frac{1}{\nu_2^2}(\frac{1}{C_{\nu_2}(\nu_2)})_{\nu_2} + (\frac{1}{z^4\theta_z})_z z^4 = 0$$

The second equation arises after differentiation the first one with respect to z. Solution of this system is the following one

$$C_{\nu_2} = k\nu_2^3 + \alpha, \quad \theta = -\frac{1}{3k}\ln(kz^{-3} + \beta), \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{3\alpha}\ln(\alpha x^{-3} + k)$$

After simple calculations we obtain functional dependence $W, a = f$ functions in a form $e^{3\alpha W} + \frac{\alpha}{k}e^{-3kf} = \frac{\beta}{k}$.

6.3 $\nu^2_c + \nu^1\nu^2_b = 0, \quad \nu^1_c + \nu^2\nu^1_b = 0$

We present only finally formulae of the corresponding subsubsection of section 4.

$$c = C^1_{\nu^1} + C^2_{\nu^2}, \quad b = \int d\nu^1\nu^1 C^1_{\nu^1} + \int d\nu^2\nu^2 C^2_{\nu^2}$$

$$f = \int[(\nu_1+\nu_2)dc - \nu_1\nu_2 db] + \theta(z) = \int d\nu_1\nu_1^2 C^1_{\nu_1} + \int d\nu_2\nu_2^2 C^2_{\nu_2} + \theta, \quad (\nu^i = \nu_i)$$

$$W = \int[(\nu_1^{-1} + \nu_2^{-1})db - (\nu_1\nu_2)^{-1}dc] + \sigma(x) = \int d\nu_1\nu_1^{-1}C^1_{\nu_1} + \int d\nu_2\nu_2^{-1}C^2_{\nu_2} + \sigma$$

Substituting these expressions in relations connected x, z variables with (ν) ones we obtain finally

$$z + \nu_1 x\Theta^1(\nu^1), \quad z + \nu_2 x = \Theta^2(\nu^2), \quad z = \frac{\Theta^2 - \Theta^1}{\Delta}, \quad = \frac{\nu_1\Theta^2 - \nu_2\Theta^1}{\Delta}$$

Thus only one problem which remains to resolve is functionally dependence of f, W functions or $f_z W_x = f_x W_z$. Taking into account equations defined ν functions we have

$$\nu^1_x = \frac{1}{\Theta^1_{\nu_1} - z}, \quad \nu^1_z = \frac{\nu^1}{\Theta^1_{\nu_1} - z}, \quad \nu^2_x = \frac{1}{\Theta^2_{\nu_2} - z}, \quad \nu^2_z = \frac{\nu^2}{\Theta^2_{\nu_2} - z}$$

$$\Delta\theta_z\sigma_x + (\nu_1^3 C^1_{\nu_1}\frac{\Delta}{q_1} + \nu_2^2 C^2_{\nu_2}\frac{\Delta}{q_2})\sigma_x + (\nu_1^{-1} C^1_{\nu_1}\frac{\Delta}{q_1} + \nu_2^{-1} C^2_{\nu_2}\frac{\Delta}{q_2})\theta_z =$$

$$(\frac{\nu_1^2}{\nu_1} - \frac{\nu_2^2}{\nu_1})\frac{\Delta}{q_1}\frac{\Delta}{q_2} C^1_{\nu_1} C^2_{\nu_2}, \quad q_1 = \Theta^1_{\nu_1} - z, \quad q_1 = \Theta^2_{\nu_2} - z \tag{10}$$

which can be rewritten in equivalent form

$$\frac{\nu_2^{-1} C^2_{\nu_2}\frac{\Delta}{q_2} - \nu_1\sigma_x}{-\nu_1^{-1} C^1_{\nu_1}\frac{\Delta}{q_1} - \nu_2\sigma_x} = \frac{\theta_z + \nu_2^2 C^2_{\nu_2}\frac{\Delta}{q_2}}{\theta_z - \nu_1^2 C^1_{\nu_1}\frac{\Delta}{q_1}}$$

an thus (**??**) and last above is functional equation connected 4 functions C^1, C^2, θ, σ with corresponding arguments (ν^1, ν^2, z, x).

Of cause we have no idea how to find general solution of (**??**) and we present below one of its partial solution to prove its self consistence.

6.3.1 $\theta_z = E = Constant$

Absolutely by the same way as in previous subsubsection we assume $\theta_z = A = Const$ and choose Θ functions in special form $\Theta^1 = \nu_1^2, \quad \Theta^2 = \nu_2^2$ for which $z = \nu_1 + \nu_2, \quad x = -\nu_1\nu_2, \quad q_2 = \Delta, \quad q_1 = -\Delta$. Under such restrictions we resolve equation (**??**) with respect to σ function in a form

$$\sigma_x = \frac{\nu_1^{-1} C^1_{\nu_1}(E + \nu_2^2 C^2_{\nu_2}) - \nu_2^{-1} C^2_{\nu_2}(E + \nu_1^2 C^1_{\nu_1})}{\nu_2(E + \nu_2^2 C^2_{\nu_2}) - \nu_1(E + \nu_1^2 C^1_{\nu_1})} = -x^{-1}\frac{P - \bar{P}}{Q - \bar{Q}} \tag{11}$$

where $P(\nu_1) = \frac{C^1_{\nu_1}}{\nu_1(E+\nu_1^2 C^1_{\nu_1}}, \quad \bar{P}(\nu_2) = \frac{C^2_{\nu_2}}{\nu_2(E+\nu_2^2 C^2_{\nu_2}}, \quad Q = \frac{1}{\nu_1(E+\nu_1^2 C^1_{\nu_1}}, \quad \bar{Q} = \frac{1}{\nu_2(E+\nu_2^2 C^2_{\nu_2})}, \quad \nu_1^2 P + EQ = \frac{1}{\nu_1}, \quad \nu_2^2\bar{P} + E\bar{Q} = \frac{1}{\nu_2}$ Let us choose $Q = a\nu_1 + E^{-1}\nu_1^{-1}, \quad P = -aD\nu_1^{-1}, \quad \bar{Q} = a\nu_2 + E^{-1}\nu_2^{-1}, \quad \bar{P} = -aD\nu_2^{-1}$. Under such choice we have

$$x\sigma_x = \frac{E}{x + (aD)^{-1}}, \quad C^1_{\nu_1} = -\frac{E}{\nu_1^2 + (aD)^{-1}}, \quad C^1_{\nu_1} = -\frac{E}{\nu_1^2 + (aD)^{-1}}, \quad \theta_z = E$$

From main equation it follows that it is invariant with respect to multiplication all unknown functions θ, σ, C^2, C^1 on common factor. By this reason we will omit common factor E in solution above and denote $(aD)^{-1} = g$. Now in connection with beginning of section 6 we calculate

$$W = -\int d\nu_1 \frac{1}{(\nu_1^2 + g)\nu_1} - \int d\nu_2 \frac{1}{(\nu_2^2 + g)\nu_2} + \sigma = \frac{1}{2g}\ln\frac{(\nu_2^2 + g)(\nu_1^2 + g)}{\nu_2^2\nu_1^2} +$$

$$\frac{1}{g}\ln\frac{x}{x+g} = \frac{1}{2g}\ln(1+g\frac{z^2}{(x+g)^2}), \quad z = \nu_2+\nu_1, \quad x = -\nu_2\nu_1$$

$$f = -\int d\nu_1 \frac{\nu_1^2}{(\nu_1^2+g)} - \int d\nu_2 \frac{\nu_1^2}{(\nu_1^2+g)} + \theta = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}}\ln\frac{1+\sqrt{-g}\frac{z}{(x+g)}}{1-\sqrt{-g}\frac{z}{(x+g)}}$$

Thus in this case solution of M_3 exists if function W as function of its argument f looks as

$$e^{2gW} = \frac{1}{\cosh^2(f\sqrt{-g})}$$

There are other solution if assume from the beginning that $E = 0$. Then equation (**??**) takes the form

$$\sigma_x = \frac{(\frac{\nu_2^2}{\nu_1} - \frac{\nu_1^2}{\nu_2})C^2_{\nu_2}C^1_{\nu_1}}{\nu_2^3 C^2_{\nu_2} - \nu_1^3 C^1_{\nu_1}} = -x^{-1}\frac{\nu_1^{-3}-\nu_2^{-3}}{Q-\bar{Q}} \tag{12}$$

where $Q = \frac{1}{\nu_1^3 C^1_{\nu_1}}$ $\quad \bar{Q} = \frac{1}{\nu_1^3 C^1_{\nu_1}}$. Resolving of (**??**)

$$Q = a\nu_1^3 + b + c\nu_1^{-3}, \quad \bar{Q} = Q = a\nu_2^3 + b + c\nu_2^{-3}, \quad \sigma_x = -\frac{1}{x(ax^3+c)}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{3c}\ln(a{+}cx^{-3}), \quad C^1_{\nu_1} = \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{(\nu_1^3+\alpha_1)(\nu_1^3+\alpha_2)}, \quad C^2_{\nu_2} = \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{(\nu_2^3+\alpha_1)(\nu_2^3+\alpha_2)}$$

where $\alpha_1+\alpha_2 = \frac{b}{a}$, $\quad \alpha_1\alpha_2 = \frac{c}{a}$.

$$f = \int d\nu_1 \frac{\nu_1^2}{a(\nu_1^3+\alpha_1)(\nu_1^3+\alpha_2)} + (\nu_1 \to \nu_2) = \frac{1}{3a(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}\ln\frac{(\nu_1^3+\alpha_1)(\nu_2^3+\alpha_1)}{(\nu_1^3+\alpha_2)(\nu_2^3+\alpha_2)} \equiv$$

$$\frac{1}{3a(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}\ln\frac{Q_1}{Q_2}, \quad \alpha_2 Q_1 - \alpha_2 Q_2 = (\alpha_1-\alpha_2)(x^3+\alpha_1\alpha_2)$$

$$W = \int d\nu_1 \frac{\nu_1^{-1}}{a(\nu_1^3+\alpha_1)(\nu_1^3+\alpha_2)} + (\nu_1 \to \nu_2) + \Delta = \frac{1}{3a(\alpha_2\alpha_1)}(\ln\nu_1^3\nu_2^3) -$$

$$\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}\ln Q_1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}\ln Q_2) + \frac{1}{3c}\ln\frac{\alpha_2 Q_1 - \alpha_1 Q_2}{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)x^3} =$$

$$\frac{\alpha_2}{3c(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}\ln\frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1\frac{Q_2}{Q_1}}{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)} - \frac{\alpha_1}{3c(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}\ln\frac{\alpha_2\frac{Q_1}{Q_2} - \alpha_1}{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}$$

And finally dependence of W from f under which solution of M_3 exists in this case is the following one

$$3c(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)W = \alpha_2\ln\frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 e^{-3a(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)f}}{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)} - \alpha_1\ln\frac{\alpha_2 e^{3a(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)f} - \alpha_1}{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)} \tag{13}$$

7 M_n

The results of previous sections may be generalized on the case of M_n equations with arbitrary n.

Let us introduce notations $a^k = (\frac{\partial^n U}{\partial z^{n-k}}\partial z^k \quad k = 0, 1, ...n-1$, then M_n equation $a^n = W(a^0)$ may be represented in a form

$$a^k_x = a^{k+1}_z, \quad a^{n-1}_x = a^n_z = W(a^0)_z = W_{a^0} a^0_z$$

Now let us use parametrization by one of 3 possibilities of previous sections. For instance $\nu_1, \nu_2 = constants$ and functions l^s_k are defined by the same way as above. There are not difficult to check that functions a^k defined as (all notations see in section $\tilde{M_2}$)

$$a^0 = l^0_{n-1} + \theta(z), \quad a^1 = l^0_{n-2}, \quad , a^2 = l^0_{n-3} ...... \quad W = l^0_{-1} + \sigma(x)$$

satisfy all equations above except of condition of functionally dependence functions $W + \sigma_x$ and $a^0 + \theta(z)$

$$(l^1_n + \theta_z)(l^1_{-1}x + \sigma_x) = l^1_{n-1} l^1_0, \quad \sigma_x\theta_z + \sigma_x l^1_n + \theta_z l^1_{-1} + \frac{[]_n}{\nu_1\nu_2}\dot{L}_2 L'_1 = 0 \tag{14}$$

where $[]_n \equiv \frac{\nu_2^n - \nu_1^n}{\nu_2 - \nu_1}$. We emphasize that among solution of last equation the trivial one is exists. Really let $\theta = \sigma = 0$ and $W = a^0$ then last condition means that (we assume also that $L_1 = 0$) $(\frac{1-\nu_2^n}{\nu_2}\dot{L}_2 = 0$ and this is exactly trivial solution of section 2. It is understand that solution in the considerable case M_n equation may be obtained from case M_3 by very simple manipulations. The example below clarify situation.

7.0.2 $\theta_z = E = Constant$

Equation (**??**) in form resolved with respect to σ_x in notations

$$\bar{R}(D_2) = \frac{\dot{L}_2}{\nu_2(\nu_2^{n-1}\dot{L}_2 + E)}, \quad \bar{Q}(D_2) = \frac{1}{\nu_2(\nu_2^{n-1}\dot{L}_2 + E)}, \quad \nu_2^{n-1}\bar{R} + E\bar{Q} = \frac{1}{\nu_2}$$

$$R(D_1) = \frac{L'_1}{\nu_2(\nu_2^{n-1}L'_1 + E)}, \quad Q(D_1) = \frac{1}{\nu_1(\nu_1^{n-1}L'_1 + E)}, \quad \nu_1^{n-1}R + EQ = \frac{1}{\nu_1}$$

looks as

$$\sigma_x \nu_1 \nu_2 = -\frac{\bar{R} - R}{\bar{Q} - Q}$$

After differentiation the last with respect to z we pass to

$$0=(\nu_2\dot{\bar{R}}-\nu_1 R')(\bar{Q}-Q)-(\bar{R}-R)(\nu_2\dot{\bar{Q}}-\nu_1 Q')$$

Substituting $Q,\bar{Q}$ via $R,\bar{R}$ we obtain equations for determining $R,\bar{R}$ functions

$$\frac{1}{\nu_1}(\frac{1}{R'}+\nu_1\nu_2[]_{n-1}\frac{R}{R'})=\frac{1}{\nu_2}(\frac{1}{\dot{\bar{R}}}+\nu_1\nu_2[]_{n-1}\frac{\bar{R}}{\dot{\bar{R}}})=\frac{1}{k}=Constant$$

with solution

$$R=-\frac{1}{\nu_1\nu_2[]_{n-1}}+ce^{k\nu_2[]_{n-1}D_1},\quad \bar{R}=-\frac{1}{\nu_1\nu_2[]_{n-1}}+\bar{c}e^{k\nu_1[]_{n-1}D_2}$$

$$EQ=\frac{[]_n}{\nu_1\nu_2[]_{n-1}}-\nu_1^{n-1}ce^{k\nu_2[]_{n-1}D_1},\quad E\bar{Q}=\frac{[]_n}{\nu_1\nu_2[]_{n-1}}-\nu_2^{n-1}\bar{c}e^{k\nu_1[]_{n-1}D_2}$$

Substituting these results in equation for $\sigma_x\nu_1\nu_2$

$$\sigma_x\nu_1\nu_2==E\frac{\bar{c}e^{k\nu_1[]_{n-1}D_2}-ce^{k\nu_2[]_{n-1}D_1}}{\nu_2^{n-1}\bar{c}e^{k\nu_1[]_{n-1}D_2}-\nu_1^{n-1}ce^{k\nu_2[]_{n-1}D_1}}$$

and all other calculations as in the case of M_3 equation. Explicit expressions for $Q,\bar{Q}$ define $\dot{L}_2,L_1'$. After integration these expressions we obtain explicit form $\sigma L_2,L_1,\theta=Ez$ functions some partial solution of M_n equation and explicit form of W function under which this solution exists.

8 Conclusion remarks

In the present paper was proposed and used the following construction. Infinite dimensional chain of of equations $a^k_x=a^{k+1}_z$ was realized on the space of 2 one dimensional functions in 3 version $\nu^k_{1,2}L_{1,2}(x+\nu_{1,2}z),\nu_{1,2}=Constant,\quad \nu_1^kL(x+\nu_1z),\int d\nu_2\nu_2^kC^2_{\nu_2},\nu_1=Constant,x+\nu_2z=\Theta(\nu_2),\quad \int d\nu_1\nu_1^kC^1_{\nu_1},\int d\nu_2\nu_2^kC^2_{\nu_2},x+\nu_1z=\Theta(\nu_1),x+\nu_2z=\Theta(\nu_2)$. After this this chain was interrupted by additional condition $a^{n+1}+\sigma(x)=W(a^0+\theta(z)$ which lead exactly to solution of M_n.

In this way we come to situation when question of integrability is connected with functional equation of special form. In this connection we would like to notice that functional equations arises before in the theory of integrable system for finding general solution in implicit form [?].$(3-4)$ What class of solutions of M_n it is possible to find by this method we don't know. What connection have all this with group theoretical approach is unknown for us at the present moment also. In general the very interesting problem may be formulated as the follows it is necessary to enumerate all functions W with choice of which equation M_n has integrable solution. Part of this solutions in partial cases we have presented in this paper in explicit form. What domain of mathematic responsible for this is the most interesting question for further investigation.

References

[1] On the integrability of a third-order Monge-Ampere type equation Strachan I.A.B. Physics Letters A, Volume 210, Number 4, 15 January 1996 , pp. 267-272(6)

[2] Ferapontov, EV, Invariant description of solutions of hydrodynamic-type systems in hodograph space: hydrodynamic surfaces, Journal of Physics A-Mathematical and General, 35:32 (2002), 6883

[3] The complex Bateman equation in a space of arbitrary dimension Fairlie, D. B.; Leznov, A. N. Journal of Mathematical Physics;Jan2001, Vol. 42 Issue 1

[4] Complex homoginious Monge Ampher.. F.L Journal Phys A.